This article was downloaded by:

On: 26 January 2011

Access details: Access Details: Free Access

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-

41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597286

Substrate/Inhibitor Properties of Tumour Purine Nucleoside Phosphorylase

A. Bzowska^a; L. Pogosjan^b; A. V. Ananiev^b; E. Kulikowska^a; D. Shugar^{ac}

- ^a Department of Biophysics, Institute of Experimental Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
- ^b Institute of Experimental Biology, Armenian Academy of Sciences, Erevan, Armenia ^c Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

To cite this Article Bzowska, A. , Pogosjan, L. , Ananiev, A. V. , Kulikowska, E. and Shugar, D.(1995) 'Substrate/Inhibitor Properties of Tumour Purine Nucleoside Phosphorylase', Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids, 14: 3, 517 - 520

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/15257779508012416

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257779508012416

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SUBSTRATE/INHIBITOR PROPERTIES OF TUMOUR PURINE NUCLEOSIDE PHOSPHORYLASE.

A. Bzowska^a, L. Pogosjan^b, A.V.Ananiev^b, E. Kulikowska^a,* and D. Shugar^a,c

^aDepartment of Biophysics, Institute of Experimental Physics, University of Warsaw, 93 Zwirki i Wigury, 00-089 Warsaw, Poland, ^bInstitute of Experimental Biology, Armenian Academy of Sciences, Erevan, 375044, Armenia, and ^cInstitute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 36 Rakowiecka, 02-532 Warsaw, Poland.

Abstract - Substrate/inhibitor properties of purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP), isolated from human lung and kidney tumour tissues, have been characterised and compared with those of the enzyme from the corresponding normal organs.

Malignant tissues are known to exhibit a variety of enzyme abnormalities. Purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP), (E.C.2.4.2.1.), a key enzyme in purine metabolism, has been reported, in conjunction with adenosine deaminase (ADA), (E.C.3.5.4.4.), to be a useful supplementary diagnostic marker for leukemia, and the ratio ADA/PNP permits discrimination between myeloid and monocytoid subtypes of acute myeloid leukemia (1). However the K_m of inosine for PNP from leukemic tissue does not appear to differ from that for the enzyme from normal cells; and the decrease in PNP activity in leukemic cells may be the consequence of a modification of the regulatory mechanism for enzyme synthesis in the pathway of purine metabolism in leukemic cells (2). By contrast, peripheral blood null lymphocytes from AIDS patients have been reported to exhibit elevated levels of PNP and ADA, implying that cellular immunodeficiency in this instance is more likely associated with an increase in an immature and/or activated subset of lymphocytes (3).

We have compared the substrate/inhibitor properties of PNP isolated from human lung and kidney tumour tissues relative to the enzyme from the corresponding normal organs. The tumour tissues were collected from a clear cell carcinoma of the kidney and from adenocarcinoma of the lung. The procedure employed for isolation and partial purification of the enzyme is depicted in Table 1 (in this case kidney tumour PNP).

518 BZOWSKA ET AL.

Table 1
Partial purification of kidney tumour PNP.

Purification step	Total volume (ml)	Protein (mg)	Total activity (U) ^a	Specific activity (U/mg)	Purifica- tion (fold)	Recovery (%)
Crude extract ^b	90	1044	22.5	0.021	1	100
Ammonium sulphate fractionation ^C	38	296	19	0.064	3.0	84.4
CM Sephadex ^d	7	56	4.3	0.076	3.6	18.9
Affinity chromatography ^e	6	0.36	1.5	4.2 f	198	6.6

^aEnzyme activity evaluated from the amount of guanine released following 6 min incubation at 37 C. ^bIn 20 mM phosphate buffer pH=6 + 1 mM DTT. ^cSuccessive 40% and 80% saturation. ^dRemoval of hemoglobin as elsewhere described (4). ^eSepharose CL-6B activated with 6-hydroxy-9-p-aminobenzylpurine (5). ^fSpecific activities /U/mg/ for the other enzymes were: lung tumour - 1.5, lung - 1.8, kidney - 3.9.

Substrate requirements.

The level of PNP activity in the tumour tissues did not significantly differ from that in normal tissues. The enzymes from human lung (L) and kidney (K), as well as those from the corresponding tumour tissues (LT and KT) catalyse the phosphorolysis, like PNP from human erythrocytes, of inosine (Ino), guanosine (Guo), and the synthetic fluorescent substrate - N(7)-methylguanosine (m⁷Guo), as shown in Table 2.

With Ino as substrate the enzyme from all four sources exhibits the substrate activation characteristic for PNP from various sources. This is reflected in the non-linear double-reciprocal plots of 1/v vs. 1/S when the rate of phosphorolysis is measured over a wide range of substrates concentrations. For the enzymes from normal kidney and lung tissues, and as previously noted for human erythrocytes (9) such substrate activation is observed at Ino concentration exceeding 200 uM. However, as shown in Table 2., such activation for the two tumour enzymes manifests itself at inosine concentrations of about 40 uM. For the L, K, and KT enzymes, extrapolation of the linear portion of the plot for low concentrations of Ino, as for PNP from human erythrocytes (9) leads to a Michaelis constant Km ~30uM, whereas that for the enzyme from LT is 3-fold lower (K_m ~11 uM). The synthetic substrate m⁷Guo differs from the natural substrate Ino in that it shows no substrate activation for all four enzymes. But the K_m is lower for the enzyme from normal tissue ($K_m = 7-8$ uM) relative to that for the enzyme from the tumours ($K_m = 14-15$ uM). Furthermore, the V_{max} for the kidney tumour enzyme with m⁷Guo as substrate is 40% higher than with Ino, whereas for the enzyme from normal kidney it is 60% lower.

Table 2

Kinetic constants for phosphorolysis of inosine and N(7)-methylguanosine by PNP purified from kidney and lung normal and tumour tissues. Phosphorolysis of Ino and m⁷Guo was monitored in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at 25 C, spectrophotometrically. For m⁷Guo the direct method, and for Ino, coupling with xanthine oxidase (6) was employed. Kinetic parameters were obtained from initial

velocities (7) and by continous monitoring (7.8).

PNP	Substrate	Substrate concentration (uM)	K _m (uM)	V _{max} (%) ^a
Kidney normal (K)	Inosine N(7)- Methylguanos- ine	< 100 < 200 b	32 41 7	100 148 41
Kidney tumour (KT)	Inosine N(7)- Methylguanos- ine	< 40 > 40 b	28 115 14	100 ~189 137
Lung mormal (L)	Inosine N(7)- Methylguanos- ine	< 100 < 200 b	34 42 8	100 140 93
Lung tumour (LT)	Inosine N(7)- Methylguanos- ine	< 22 > 40 b	11 94	100 254 62

^aFor each enzyme the 100% value for Ino was that obtained at a concentration below that for substrate activation. ^bSubstrate activation above this concentration. ^cNo detectable substrate activation.

Inhibition by acyclonucleosides.

Susceptibility to inhibition of all four enzymes was examined with the use of a series of 12 acyclonucleoside analogues with known inhibitory properties \underline{vs} human erythrocyte PNP (10). The apparent inhibition constants, K_i^{app} , are listed in Table 3. which shows several instances of differences in affinity for the normal and tumour enzymes. For example compound $\underline{12}$ is a weaker inhibitor (4-5 fold) of the two tumour enzymes, and the kidney tumour enzyme is, relative to the normal kidney enzyme,

520 BZOWSKA ET AL.

Table 3

Inhibition of PNP from normal and tumour kidney and lung tissues by some known acyclonucleoside inhibitors in 50 uM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at 25 C. K_i values were determined with Ino as the variable substrate by continous spectrophotometric monitoring (7,8) and the coupled xanthine oxidase procedure (6). K_i^{app} values were derived from the increase of K_m^{app} and decrease of (V_{max}/K_m) for Ino phosphorolysis in the presence of the inhibitor

Analogue		K _i a	K _i app (uM)		K _i app (uM)	
		(uM)	Kidney		Lung	
			tum.	norm.	tum.	norm.
1 9-(2-me	hyl-3,4-dihydroxybutyl)guanine	1.8	1.2	1.5	3.2	1.3
2 9-(2-me	oxy-3,4-dihydroxybutyl)guanine	2.8	1.5	2.1	2.2	1.2
3 9-(2-fluo	oro-3,4-dihydroxybutyl)guanine	3.5	1.7	1.6	3.5	3.4
4 9-(2-fluo	oro-3,4-dihydroxybutyl)hypoxan thine	25	44	48	33	21
5 9-(4-hyd	roxybutyl)guanine	52	32	69	52	84
6 9-(4-hyd	roxybutyl)adenine	>100	>100	b	63	b
7 9-(3,4-d	ihydroxybutyl)guanine	21	4.2	6.7	9.3	5.2
8 7-methy	1-9-(3,4-dihydroxybutyl)guanine	43	>200	300	210	110
9 8-bromo	-9-(3,4-dihydroxybutyl)guanine	3.7	0.5	2.3	4.4	2.8
10 8-amino	-9-(3,4-dihydroxybutyl)guanine	4.3	6.0	5.3	5.4	4.1
11 9-(3-car	boxy-4-hydroxybutyl)guanine	>200	140	b	140	<u>b</u>
	toxy-4-hydroxybutyl)guanine	~100	>400	75	230	60

^aK_i for inhibition of human erythrocyte PNP (10), ^bNot determined.

inhibited 5-fold more effectively by compound 2. While the overall results point to the absence of significant differences in kinetic properties between the enzymes from normal and malignant tissues, the differences in substrate activation and response to inhibitors are of some interest. More extensive purification of the enzymes would be required to better define these defferences.

Acknowledgments. We are indebted to Lucyna Magnowska for excellent technical assistance, and to the State Committee for Scientific Research for financial support. (KBN, Grant No. 6 P203 04605).

REFERENCES

- 1. A.Mesarosova, A.Hrivnakova, O.Babusikova, Neoplasma 1993, 40, 341.
- 2. T. Morisaki, N. Horiuchi, H. Fujii, S. Miwa, Amer. J. Hematol. 1986, 23, 263.
- 3. J.L.Murray, K.C.Loftin, C.G.Munn, J.M.Reuben, P.W.A.Mansell, E.M.Hersh, Blood, 1985, 65, 1318.
- 4. W.R.A.Osborne, N.Spencer, Biochem. J., 1973, 133, 117.
- 5. W.R.A.Osborne, J. Biol. Chem., 1980, 255, 7089.
- 6. J.D.Stoeckler, R.P. Agarwal, R.E.Parks Jr, Meth. Enzymol., 1978, 51, 530.
- 7. J.H.Segal, Enzyme Kinetics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
- 8. A.Bzowska, E.Kulikowska, E.Darzynkiewicz, D.Shugar, J.Biol.Chem., 1988, 263, 9212.
- 9. R.P.Agarwal, R.E.Parks Jr., J. Biol. Chem., 1969, 244, 644.
- 10. A.Bzowska, E.Kulikowska, D.Shugar, C.Bing-yi, B.Lindborg, N.G.Johansson, Biochem. Pharmacol., 1991, 41, 1791.